

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/748,117	Applicant(s) O'HAGAN, DEREK	
	Examiner N. M. MINNIFIELD	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. MINNIFIELD.

(2) David B. Bonham, 34297.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2011

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

generally all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/N. M. MINNIFIELD/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant gave approval to cancel withdrawn claims 40-74, 78 and 81-85. Examiner indicated that method claims 32 and 35-39 will be rejoined with the allowed product claims 1-28 and 86-91. Claims 1-28, 32, 35-39 and 86-91 have been allowed and renumbered 1-40 respectively..